

Message

From: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]
Sent: 10/8/2020 6:54:31 PM
To: Long, Mike [Long.Mike@epa.gov]
CC: Laycock, Kelly [Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Hi, Mike – I'd like to recommend the "wait until we get some letters" path, as I view reviewing and sorting comments as something they should already be prepared to do without any additional training or familiarization. (Plus, "training" wasn't really in the budget for this effort – as presented, they seemed well versed in sorting and summarizing comments on such actions.)

Thank you for addressing this,
Rosemary

From: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2020 2:50 PM
To: Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Kathleen Wu <kathleen.wu@erg.com>; Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>; Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>
Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Mike, exactly, I would only be getting familiar with the kinds of comments and relating code determination. Since we have only two comment letters on our rulemaking, I thought it might be useful to see the types of comments on the other rule. Otherwise, I can wait until we get some letters on our rule that might have a wide range of topics that I could line up with our comment codes.

Joe Fanjoy

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)



From: Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2020 2:41 PM
To: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>; Kathleen Wu <Kathleen.Wu@erg.com>; Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Hi Joe,
Thanks for yours and your staff continued assistance with Kelly's and Rosemary's project.

Quick question – This might I'm reading too much into terminology, but you not actually training your staff with the coding but rather getting them familiar with the kinds of comments and relating code determination?

Thank again, Mike

From: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2020 1:46 PM
To: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>; Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>;

Kathleen Wu <kathleen.wu@erg.com>

Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Rosemary, so far, we have only two public comments on the assumption.

To help train ERG staff in coding letters (i.e., assigning a comment code/subcode), it might help to see the comments Florida received on the Section 404 program. How would I access those letters? Is there a docket number associated with that rule?

Thanks, Joe



From: Joe Fanjoy

Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 5:04 PM

To: 'Calli, Rosemary' <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>

Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Rosemary, no problem. I received what appears to be your full message at 4:53 pm. Plus this one. Your message is consistent! Thanks!



From: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 5:00 PM

To: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>

Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>; Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>

Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Hi, Joe – My computer is acting up and I may have hit 'send' prematurely, but can't find evidence either way. On the Notice of Proposed Rule – we already considered the comments Florida received when we developed the list of anticipated comment categories, so no need for any further research there. Glad the timing was good.

~ Rosemary

Rosemary (Hall) Calli

Section Chief, Wetlands & Streams Regulatory Section

Aquatic Ecotoxicologist

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV

404.562.9846

Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov

From: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 4:10 PM

To: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>

Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>; Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>
Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Hi Rosemary, good timing, I was just reviewing our list. I've added these subcategories, no problem.

On one of our early calls, someone had mentioned public comments on a Notice of Proposed Rule. I think it was the attached notice.

Were there public comments on that? If so, would they be a useful reference?

Thanks, Joe



From: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>
Subject: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Hi, Joe – Our colleagues focused on NHPA have identified a few potential sub-categories. Could you add the following breakout to category #8 for the assumption comment binning, please? Also, we should call it simply “NHPA”, not “NHPA consultation.”

- a. Operating Agreement
- b. Programmatic Agreement
- c. Comments from Indian tribes
- d. Historic sites: Tribal
- e. Historic sites: Non-tribal

Thank you,
Rosemary

Rosemary (Hall) Calli
Section Chief, Wetlands & Streams Regulatory Section
Aquatic Ecotoxicologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV

404.562.9846
Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov